



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

**GEORGIA LAW REGULATING BARBERS HELD CONSTITUTIONAL.**

The act regulating the occupation of barbers (Laws 1914, p. 75) as amended (Laws 1920, p. 109) has been held constitutional<sup>1</sup> by the Supreme Court of Georgia.

The act was attacked on the following grounds: (1) That it discriminated between persons engaged in the trade of barbering and persons engaged in other trades involving manual labor; (2) that it violated the provision of the State constitution requiring laws of a general nature to operate uniformly throughout the State because it was applicable only to barbers in cities or towns having populations in excess of 5,000 inhabitants; (3) that it violated both the State and Federal Constitutions relative to the equal protection of the laws in that it exempted from its provisions barbers engaged within the State at the date of its approval and who had been practicing their trade for three years prior to its approval and permitted such barbers to continue their occupations by making an affidavit of these facts and paying the sum of \$2, while persons who had learned to practice such occupation without the State were required to pay the sum of \$5 and to submit to an examination; and (4) that the classification of the towns and cities provided in the act had no reasonable relation to the subject matter of the act and was arbitrary and capricious. The supreme court rejected all of these contentions.

---

**DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED APRIL 8, 1922.**

*Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended April 8, 1922, and corresponding week, 1921. (From the Weekly Health Index, April 11, 1922, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)*

	Week ended Apr. 8, 1922.	Corresponding week, 1921.
Policies in force.....	48, 931, 741	46, 605, 524
Number of death claims.....	10, 113	9, 592
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate.....	10.8	10.8

---

<sup>1</sup>Cooper et al. v. Rollins et al., 110 S. E. 726.

*Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended April 8, 1922, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1921. (From the Weekly Health Index, April 11, 1922, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)*

City.	Estimated population July 1, 1921.	Week ended Apr. 8, 1922.		Annual death rate per 1,000, corresponding week, 1921.	Deaths under 1 year.		Infant mortality rate, week ended Apr. 8, 1922. <sup>2</sup>
		Total deaths.	Death rate. <sup>1</sup>		Week ended Apr. 8, 1922.	Corresponding week, 1921.	
Total.....	27,356,942	7,585	14.5	13.6	1,098	1,021	.....
Akron, Ohio.....	208,435	34	8.5	8.9	13	4	138
Albany, N. Y.....	115,071	45	20.4	20.8	6	4	135
Atlanta, Ga.....	220,047	75	17.8	15.3	10	9	.....
Baltimore, Md.....	750,864	226	15.7	15.5	23	20	65
Birmingham, Ala.....	186,133	46	12.9	16.0	5	7	.....
Boston, Mass.....	757,634	253	17.4	15.6	42	29	112
Bridgeport, Conn.....	143,555	30	10.9	13.2	6	9	75
Buffalo, N. Y.....	519,608	179	18.0	14.5	39	27	153
Cambridge, Mass.....	110,444	23	10.9	9.9	4	4	73
Camden, N. J.....	119,672	40	17.4	13.9	9	5	138
Chicago, Ill.....	2,780,655	729	13.7	11.7	129	95	.....
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	403,418	124	16.0	14.6	15	10	100
Cleveland, Ohio.....	831,138	186	11.7	12.2	33	25	85
Columbus, Ohio.....	245,358	79	16.8	13.8	8	7	84
Dallas, Tex.....	165,282	41	12.9	15.5	4	6	.....
Dayton, Ohio.....	152,559	43	14.7	8.6	3	4	51
Denver, Colo.....	263,152	89	17.6	16.2	5	10	.....
Detroit, Mich.....	1,070,450	244	11.9	11.1	45	52	87
Fall River, Mass.....	120,668	30	13.0	15.1	6	7	84
Fort Worth, Tex.....	111,423	21	9.8	.....	1	.....	.....
Grand Rapids, Mich.....	141,197	43	15.9	10.0	10	6	167
Houston, Tex.....	144,340	28	10.1	14.8	2	11	.....
Indianapolis, Ind.....	325,632	96	15.4	14.6	12	10	91
Jersey City, N. J.....	302,788	81	13.9	17.6	16	16	102
Kansas City, Kans.....	103,884	27	13.6	13.6	3	2	69
Kansas City, Mo.....	336,157	116	18.0	13.5	11	9	.....
Los Angeles, Calif.....	614,160	179	15.2	14.8	22	13	61
Louisville, Ky.....	236,083	59	13.0	15.0	9	3	97
Lowell, Mass.....	113,757	19	8.7	14.2	7	8	118
Memphis, Tenn.....	165,656	34	10.7	15.7	1	7	.....
Milwaukee, Wis.....	468,386	126	14.0	11.9	21	29	103
Minneapolis, Minn.....	392,815	101	13.4	12.9	11	9	60
Nashville, Tenn.....	122,036	34	14.5	18.4	3	6	.....
New Bedford, Mass.....	125,012	32	13.3	12.5	11	4	164
New Haven, Conn.....	167,007	50	15.6	15.9	8	4	98
New Orleans, La.....	394,657	140	18.5	17.4	17	23	.....
New York, N. Y.....	5,751,867	1,619	14.7	13.0	210	224	81
Newark, N. J.....	424,885	108	13.3	12.5	14	13	62
Norfolk, Va.....	121,260	20	8.6	10.3	4	3	71
Oakland, Calif.....	226,472	51	11.7	9.9	3	9	38
Omaha, Nebr.....	197,066	60	15.9	19.1	10	9	108
Paterson, N. J.....	137,463	36	13.7	19.7	3	7	46
Philadelphia, Pa.....	1,866,212	536	15.0	13.9	70	84	83
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	602,452	198	17.1	17.9	37	28	118
Portland, Oreg.....	264,859	62	12.2	11.4	6	4	59
Providence, R. I.....	239,645	75	16.3	13.5	14	7	111
Richmond, Va.....	175,686	69	20.5	14.8	13	4	158
Rochester, N. Y.....	305,229	97	16.6	11.6	16	6	123
St. Louis, Mo.....	786,164	217	14.4	10.7	33	12	.....
St. Paul, Minn.....	237,781	58	12.7	11.2	6	3	56
Salt Lake City, Utah.....	121,595	30	12.9	11.1	6	3	89
San Francisco, Calif.....	520,546	142	14.2	18.4	3	10	17
Seattle, Wash.....	315,312	62	10.3	11.8	14	9	118
Spokane, Wash.....	104,442	27	13.5	14.0	2	4	43
Springfield, Mass.....	135,877	29	11.1	13.0	4	6	60
Syracuse, N. Y.....	177,265	52	15.3	10.9	9	5	108
Toledo, Ohio.....	253,696	61	12.5	15.2	7	18	68
Trenton, N. J.....	122,760	43	18.3	11.9	4	4	61
Washington, D. C.....	437,571	123	14.7	14.8	16	20	92
Wilmington, Del.....	113,408	30	13.8	13.8	5	9	97
Worcester, Mass.....	184,972	57	16.1	19.2	12	9	130
Yonkers, N. Y.....	103,324	21	10.6	17.7	7	7	146

<sup>1</sup> Annual rate per 1,000 population.

<sup>2</sup> Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births—an annual rate based on deaths under 1 year for the week and estimated births for 1921. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.

<sup>3</sup> Enumerated population Jan. 1, 1920.

<sup>4</sup> Estimated population July 1, 1922.